

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/913,892	Applicant(s) BECK, RONALD
	Examiner Phillip A Johnston	Art Unit 2881

All Participants:

(1) Philip A Johnston.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Kevin Kercher.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Non Final dated 4/3/2003*

Claims discussed:

1, 5, and 16

Prior art documents discussed:

*U.S. Patent No. 4,636,475*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
JOHN P. LEE  
SPECIAL PATENT EXAMINER  
APRIL 14, 2003

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner and Applicants representative agreed that addition of the statement "the first and the second container both have a septum, the septum of the second container during use being situated at least partly over the septum of the first container, both septa being pierceable approximately simultaneously by a needle." overcomes the prior art discussed..

J. R. Lee  
SUPERVISORY EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600  
*[Handwritten signature]*